# Assessment for Learning:

# Facing the change, changing the face

**Dr. Rashmi Vij** *Principal, Police DAV Public School Jalandhar, Punjab*  If schools are for learning, then knowing about learning for teachers is like knowing about anatomy for doctors.

(Lorna, M Earl.)

## What is Assessment ? Assessment is an integral part of learning.

A process of documenting in measurable terms knowledge, skills, attitudes and belief.

**Effective Teaching** Student Learning Learning Objectives Learning Outcomes Goals

Assessment	& Evaluation				
Assessment	Evaluation				
Ongoing: to improve learning	Final: to gauge quality				
Process Oriented: How	Product Oriented: What has				
learning is going	been learned.				
Diagnostic: Identify areas for	Judgment: Arrive out an				
improvement	overall grade / score				
Flexible: Adjusts as problems	Fixed: To reward success				
are clarified	To punish failure				
Co-operative: Learn from	Competitive: Beat each other				
each other					

**Types of Assessment Summative Assessment:** (Assessment of learning) •End of the term exam. •Feedback on learning to teacher and parents. Certify Learning •Doing it right is a challenge in itself.

**Teacher is the dominant figure.** 

## Assessment for Learning (Formative Assessment) From Making Judgements to creating descriptions

"Assessment for Learning is the process of seeking & interpreting evidence for use by learners and their teachers to decide where the learners are in their learning, where they need to go & how best to get there."

Assessment for learning is "any assessment in which the first priority is to serve the purpose of promoting students' learning."

**Paul Black** 

Active involvement of both teacher and student.

# The New Approach

# The extended role of Assessment for Learning to

## Assessment As Learning.

## **Assessment As Learning** Student - The Key Role Player

Assessment

Learning <

Students as actively engaged and critical assessors make sense of the information, relate it to prior knowledge, and master the skills involved.

### **Demystifying Assessment As Learning**

Learning objectives show the goal posts
where the students are expected to reach.
Learning outcomes describe significant

learning that learners have achieved and can reliably demonstrate at the end of a course or a programme.

•Success criteria / Assessment Criteria are the route map of learning, the teachers expectation from the students.



High Order Learning Criteria

Learning Outcomes The need to provide Success Criteria or Assessment Criteria

## A Classroom Exemplar

#### If each one of you is asked to draw a house.

With Following Instructions:

Equipment: pencil or pen, blank piece of paper Time allowed: 2 minutes No talking!

The resultant will be *n* - number of drawings



## The task – a muddle for the learner

- >What was the point of the task what was I learning?
  >How was I supposed to know what to include what was my
  outcome?
- >Why weren't we given more detailed instructions? >How about sharing the success criteria with us – could we have created it ourselves from the mark scheme and/or a model? >Why weren't we allowed to ask questions - talk for learning? >Why didn't you give us some indication of what you were looking for what does "good" look like? >Wouldn't it have been better if we'd seen (and discussed) the mark scheme beforehand - knowing what different levels are
  - and how to reach them?

## ESSENTIAL STRATEGIES OF ASSESSMENT FOR LEARNING

## **Questioning in Class**

- Can kindle high order thinking by motivating students to indulge in questioning.
- Draw out understanding through students thought processes.
- Focus on Assessment objectives by making students think of questions from Summative Assessment point of view.



## From

Knowledge of the subject
 Learning Objectives
 Success Criteria



## PEER ASSESSMENT

**Peer Assessment is not simply** evaluating a partner's work; it is used often using success criteria to reinforce the assessors learning as well as give constructive criticism.

## Peer Assessment

It improves motivation
Peer discussion is in language they use and understand

•Peers will question peer marking in a way that they rarely do with teachers.

It can inform the teacher about the learning by group/pair response or by reading peer marking.
It informs the student's own learning.

# The graduation to the top rung of the ladder. SELF ASSESSMENT

Self Assessment is the process of self reflection or monitoring engaged in by the students to provide themselves with feedback that helps them to see errors, consider alternatives and make adjustments to enhance their performance and to reach the set

targets.



## The Classroom Exemplar Quick Simple "Starters" for Self Assessment

Traffic Lights – cards

-Can be used at any time

-Pair reds and greens so that greens can explain to reds. -Put ambers together to see if they can complete the whole.

## Self Assessment

•Emphasis is on thinking and articulating – not writing – good to share. •Helps create independent learners. •Needs to be related to the learning intention; (Learning Objectives or/and Success Criteria) •Needs "training" – easiest to model. •Must have a comfortable learning atmosphere - problems are seen as a way to learn not as failure to make students **Confident, Competent, Self Assessors** 

## **The Dividend**

**Self Assessment inculcates** 

- Develops Habits of Mind
- Students as creative, critical and self regulatory assessors.
- ➢ Builds self esteem
- >Nurtures life long confidence in making judgements.

### And above all

**Critical And High Order Thinking** 



# **Research Undertaken** Hypothesis

Assessment for learning combined with assessment as learning significantly improves the assessment of learning.



## Sample

A sample of 60 students taking two separate sections of grade X (age group 14-16 years) as per the experimental paradigm- were taken and bifurcated into two groups - experimental and the other <u>control one.</u>

#### Research Conducted At

#### Police DAV Public School, Jalandhar ,Punjab, India

ndia

## Methodology

> In experimental as well as control groups, assessment for learning was carried out as per CCE guidelines.

≻The experimental group students were trained for Assessment as Learning.

➤ An environment was created in which students of experimental group were exposed to

*Elearning objectives, learning outcomes* 

trained in peer assessment and self assessment

➤using success criteria

>to reinforce the assessors learning as well as to give constructive criticism, while the control group was not provided any such exposure.

>Results of both the groups were compared.

#### ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING SCORES IN SOCIAL SCIENCES



#### ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING SCORES IN SCIENCES



#### ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING SCORES IN MATHS







ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING SCORES IN ENGLISH

### **COMPARISON**

Xa **EXPERIMENTAL GROUP** 

X<sub>b</sub>

**CONTROL GROUP** 

	ENGLISH		MATH		SCIENCE		SOCIAL SCIENCE	
	Experimental Group	Control Group	Experimental Group	Control Group	Experimental Group	Control Group	Experiment al Group	Control Group
	Xa	X <sub>b</sub>	Xa	X <sub>b</sub>	Xa	X <sub>b</sub>	Xa	X <sub>b</sub>
MEAN (Mx <sub>a</sub> & Mx <sub>b</sub> )	80.08	59.83	74.96	37.17	74.83	40.96	80.96	51.21
SUM OF SQUARE DEVIATES (SS <sub>a</sub> & SS <sub>b</sub> )	1459.17	2952.29	9426.51	15146.67	10321.04	18267.76	7664.64	12698.39
Variance s <sup>2</sup> <sub>p</sub>	76.06		423.68		492.91		351.09	
<b>STANDARD DEVIATION</b> $\Box$ $\Box$ $(Mx_a, Mx_b)$	2.25		5.31		5.73		4.84	
t RATIO	8.99		7.11		5.91		6.15	
DEGREE OF FREEDOM	28.00		28.00		28.00		28.00	

	ENGLISH		матн		SCIENCE		SOCIAL SCIENCE	
	AOL Scores of Experimen tal Group	AOL Previous Scores of Experimental Group	AOL Scores of Experimental Group	AOL Previous Scores of Experiment al Group	AOL Scores of Experimen tal Group	AOL Previous Scores of Experimental Group	AOL Scores of Experiment al Group	AOL Previous Scores of Experim ental Group
MEAN (Mx <sub>a</sub> & Mx <sub>b</sub> )	83.69	80.08	84.01	74.96	84.32	74.83	84.03	80.96
SUM OF SQUARE DEVIATES (SS <sub>a</sub> & SS <sub>b</sub> )	1504.17	1459.17	4568.06	9426.51	7822.54	10321.04	3995.69	7664.64
Variance s <sup>2</sup> <sub>p</sub>	51.09		241.29		246.71		201.04	
STANDARD DEVIATION [] [] (Mx <sub>a</sub> Mx <sub>b</sub> )	1.85		4.01		4.06		3.66	
t RATIO	3.25		2.26		2.34		2.13	
DEGREE OF FREEDOM	28.00		28.00		28.00		28.00	

#### <u>Data Analysis</u>

With reference to Table–1 Assessment of learning: Calculated value of t is 8.99, 7.11,5.91 & 6.15 in English, Maths, Science & Social Science scores respectively whereas tabular value of t at 5% level of significance and degrees of freedom = 28 is 2.05. Since calculated t is greater than tabular t (tcal > ttab), thus, hypothesis is accepted. Performance of experimental group is better than control group.

Hence, this reflects upon the fact that performance of Experimental group is better when Assessment as Learning is combined with Assessment for learning. With reference to Table-II: Calculated value of t is 3.25, 2.26, 2.34 & 2.13 in English, Maths, Science & Social Science respectively whereas tabular value of t at 5% level of significance and degrees of freedom = 28 is 2.05. Since calculated t is greater than tabular (tcal > ttab), thus hypothesis is accepted.

## **Conclusion**

Performance of experimental group is better in the semester when they are exposed to the Assessment as Learning than their own assessment of learning in previous semester.

So our hypothesis that Assessment for Learning combined with Assessment as Learning significantly improves the assessment of learning stands proved. The challenge for educators today is to apply the emerging understanding about learning assessment and evaluation innovatively and with commitment in productive ways:

Where all students "not just a few" will be

- Assessing, interpreting and applying information
   Performing critical thinking and analysis
   Independently and among peers
- **With their lateral thinking**

*Add on to the spiral continuum of progress.*